1 2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 5 6 7 RICHARD ARZOLA, Plaintiff, 8 3:16-cv-00096-RCJ-VPC 9 VS. **ORDER** 10 CONNIE BISBEE et al., 11 Defendants. 12 13 Plaintiff Richard Arzola is a prisoner in the custody of the Nevada Department of 14 Corrections. He has sued five members of the Nevada Parole Board in this Court pursuant to 42 15 U.S.C. § 1983 for violations of his Fourteenth Amendment equal protection and due process 16 rights by denying him parole based on an alleged unofficial policy of the chair to deny parole to 17 sex offenders. The Court now screens the Complaint under 28 U.S.C. § 1915A and dismisses it. 18 Parole board members "are entitled to absolute quasi-judicial immunity for decisions to grant, 19 deny, or revoke parole because these tasks are functionally comparable to tasks performed by 20 judges." Swift v. California, 384 F.3d 1184, 1189 (9th Cir. 2004) (internal quotation marks 21 omitted). 22 /// 23 /// 24 ///

CONCLUSION IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Complaint (ECF No. 1-1) is DISMISSED, without leave to amend, and the Application to Proceed in Forma Pauperis (ECF No. 4) is DENIED as moot. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk shall enter judgment and close the case. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a certificate of appealability is denied. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated this 18th day of July, 2016. United States District Judge